Purple Contraction

Shri Pardeep Chopra, #12A, New Shankar, GardenGujja, Near Road,, Jalandhar.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Police Commissioner, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar.

....Respondents

Appeal Case No. 5192 of 2021 (Heard in person/through Webex)

Present : (i) Pardeep Chopra, Appellant is present through Webex. (ii) ASI Balwinder Singh, O/o Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, on behalf of The PIO/Respondent, in person.

<u>ORDER</u>

1 . This order may be read with reference to the order dated 01.06.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The appellant is present through Webex and states that he visited the office of the PIO firstly on 04.06.2022 and again on 11.06.2022 and inspected the relevant record. He further stated that as per his supplementary letter dated 01.09.2022 the information related to point no. 5, no paper/document was found present in the file.

3. ASI Balwinder Singh who is present on behalf of the PIO submitted a copy of letter No. 3-A-III dated 13.07.2022 vide which it has been stated that the sought for information has been obtained from the Incharge, Human Right Cell and sent to the appellant consisting of 45 number of pages vide letter dated 05.04.2022 and today also brought 60 number of pages for providing to the appellant.

4. As the appellant has not come personally present today so the sought for information consisting of 60 number of pages could not be delivered to the appellant during the hearing.

5. I have gone through the information and the reply submitted by both the parties. As the appellant has not come present personally today, so the PIO is directed to send the said information to the appellant through e-mail and copy be also sent to the Commission. The PIO is further directed to file an affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper duly signed by the PIO within 21 days signed by PIO & attested by Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in A.C. No.

Contd....P/2....

Appeal Case No. 5192 of 2021

5192/2021 has been supplied to the appellant. The information supplied is true and correct and nothing has been concealed therein. Original affidavit be sent to the appellant and photo copy to the Commission for record.

In view of the above, the Bench does not feel need for further intervention in the matter.The appeal case is disposed of and closed. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Lt. Gen. Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd.) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Chandigarh. Date : 14 July, 2022



Shri Jagtar Singh, S/o Shri Sampooran Singh, R/o Village Naraina, PO Bhagrana,Block Khera, Tehsil Bassi Pathana, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer (BDPO),Khera, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

First Appellate Authority

O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer(DDPO), Fatehgarh Sahib.

...Respondents

<u>Appeal Case No. 5214 of 2021</u> (Heard in person/through Webex)

Present : (i) Jagtar Singh, Appellant is present.

- (ii) Ms. Sarabjit Kaur, BDPO, Khera Block(9781616213) is present.
- (iii) Sh. Tara Chand, Panchayat Secretary(M: 8427528295), Village Chunni Kalan is present in person.
- (iv) Sh. Shingara Singh,(8146734940) Sarpanch, Village Narayana is present in person.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 18.05.2022 passed by this Bench

on the previous hearing.

2. The appellant has come personally present today and stated that he has received the

information regarding point nos. 1,2,4,5,7 & 8 as per his RTI application and the remaining information

regarding point Nos. 3,6 and 9 has not been provided to him by the PIO.

3. On the other hand the PIO also comes present before the Bench and submitted a copy of letter No. 184 dated 6.6.2022 vide which it has been stated that the information regarding point No. 3,6

and 9 has also been provided to the appellant and the copy of the said information is also submitted to the Commission.

Contd...P/2...

Appeal Case No. 5214 of 2021

4. I have gone through the reply submitted by the appellant diarized 25921 dated 17.11.2021 and also the reply submitted by the PIO dated 6.6.2022 and also discussed with both the parties in length. In the meantime, the appellant stated during the course of hearing that he has gone through the information regarding point no. 3,6 and 9 and is satisfied.

5. As the PIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application so the show cause notice issued to the PIO vide order dated 18.05.2022 is hereby dropped and the present appeal case is **disposed off and closed. Copies of orders be sent to the parties.**

Chandigarh. Date : 14th July, 2022



Shri Jagjit Singh Bajaj, # 1716, Sector-61,Phase-7, S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Grater Mohali Area Development Authority (GMADA), Mohali.

First Appellate Authority O/o Grater Mohali Area Development Authority(GMADA), Mohali.

....Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3656 of 2021 (Heard in person/through Webex)

Present : (i) Shri Jagjit Singh, appellant is present.

(ii) Shri Gurwinder Singh, Assistant Estate Officer/PIO, GMADA, Mohali is present through Cisco Webex (M: 9878602071)

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 18.05.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The appellant has come personally present today and stated that no action has been taken on his letters submitted to the respondents and specific information has not been provided to him by the PIO till date.

3. The PIO states that the appellant visited the office and met the Estate Officer of the concerned Branch and he stated to the appellant that the file has been sent to the higher authorities for consideration. The PIO further stated that the appellant also inspected the relevant record but still he is stating that the specific information has not been provided to him.

4. The Commission has also received an email from the office of the PIO which is diarized 13786 dated 24.06.2022 vide which it has been stated that the file is under consideration and also enclosed another letter dated 15.06.2022 by stating that the sought for information cannot be provided as it is in questionnaire form.

5. As the PIO has stated in his letter dated 15.06.2022 that the matter is under consideration with the higher authorities and moreover the appellant has also inspected the relevant records but still he has stated that the specific information has not been provided to him , so, the PIO is directed to file an

Appeal Case No. 3656 of 2021

affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper within 21 days duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in A.C. No. 3656/2021 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. The copy of the said affidavit be sent to the appellant and compliance report be also sent to the Commission.

6. In view of the above, the Bench does not feel the need for further intervention in the matter.The appeal case is disposed off and closed. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh. Date : 14th July, 2022



Shri Jagjit Singh(RTI Activist), R/o Aman Nagar, Street No.3 B/side Green Land School, Near Jalandhar By-pass, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Grater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA),Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Chief Administrator, Grater Ludhiana Area Development Authority(GLADA), Ludhiana

....Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3741 of 2021 (Heard in person/through Webex)

Present : (i) Shri Jagjit Singh, appellant is absent. (ii) Shri Tarun Kumar (9872722431) Clerk, GLADA, Ludhiana is present.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 18.05.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The appellant has not come personally present today. However, he was contacted over his mobile number and he stated that due to death of his mother he is unable to attend the today's hearing. So, next date be given to him.

3. On the other hand the PIO stated that the sought for information has already been provided to the appellant vide letter no. 4214 dated 15.6.2021, 2276 dated 24.6.2022 and letter no. 4632 dated 5.7.2021. Copy of letter No. 2622 dated 13.07.2022 is in the file of the Commission.

4. I have gone through my previous order dated 18.05.2022 and the reply submitted by the PIO vide letter no. 2622 dated 13.07.2022. The PIO has provided the sought for information to the appellant comprising of 92 pages except a copy of register as asked by the appellant. So, the PIO is now directed to file an affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper within 21 days duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in A.C. No. 3741/2021 has been supplied to the appellant.

: 2 :

Appeal Case No. 3741 of 2021

No separate register for registering the complaints is being maintained by the office of the PIO. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. Original affidavit be sent to the appellant and compliance report be also sent to the Commission.

6. In view of the above, the Bench does not feel need for further intervention in the matter.

The appeal case is disposed off and closed. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh. Date : 14th July, 2022



Shri Jagjit Singh(RTI Activist), R/o Aman Nagar, Street No.3 B/side Green Land School, Near Jalandhar By-pass, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Grater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Grater Ludhiana Area Development Authority(GLADA), Ludhiana

....Respondents

Appeal Case No. 3693 of 2021 (Heard in person/through Webex)

Present : (i) Shri Jagjit Singh, appellant is absent.

(ii) Shri Tarun Kumar (9872722431) Clerk, GLADA, Ludhiana is present.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 18.05.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The appellant has not come personally present today. However, he was contacted over his mobile number and he stated that due to death of his mother he is unable to attend the today's hearing. So, next date be given to him.

3. On the other hand the PIO stated that the sought for information cannot be supplied to the appellant being not specific and also relates to third party. The PIO further reiterated that the appellant has to put forward the reasons for obtaining this information in public interest which he has not done. The Commission has also received a mail diarized 4074 dated 13.07.2022 and it has been stated by the PIO that the sought for information cannot be provided to the appellant being not specific and also relates to third party.

Contd....P/2.....

Appeal Case No. 3693 of 2021

4. I have gone through my previous order dated 23.02.2022 and 18.05.2022 and the reply submitted by the PIO vide letter no. 4390 dated 13.07.2022. As the appellant could not explain the reasons and public interest involved in seeking the information. So, I am of the opinion that it is a fit case to be disposed off.

5. In view of the above, the Bench does not feel need for further intervention in the matter.The appeal case is disposed off and closed. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh. Date : 14th July, 2022



Sh. Amarjit Singh Dhamatia, District President, Ludhiana NCAG, H.No. 60-35-P-376-1, Street No.8, Maha SinghNagar, PO Dhandari Kalan, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

....Respondents

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Chief Administrator, GLADA, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority O/o Chief Administrator, GLADA, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 721 of 2021 (Heard in person/through Webex)

Present : (i) Shri Gurmej Lal on behalf of the appellant Shri Amarjit Singh Dhamotia is present. (ii) Shri Tarun Kumar (9872722431) Clerk, GLADA, Ludhiana is present.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 01.06.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The representative of the appellant has taken the information by hand and stated that the said information is not complete.

3. On the other hand the PIO stated that the information as available in the official record has been given and no other information is available with them. The Commission has also received an email from the office of the PIO diarized no. 11163 dated 25.5.2022 and it has been stated by the PIO that till date no survey has been got conducted regarding the unauthorized colonies. However, if any specific information is required regarding unauthorized colony the same would be provided.

4. I have gone through my previous order dated 01.06.2022 and 18.05.2022 and the reply submitted by the PIO vide letter no. 3046 dated 13.05.2022 and the PIO stated that till date no survey has been got conducted regarding the unauthorized colonies.

5. In the light of the above, the PIO is directed to file an affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper within 21 days duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in A.C. No. 721/2021 has been supplied to the appellant.

:2:

Appeal Case No. 721 of 2021

It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. The copy of the said affidavit be sent to the appellant and compliance report be also sent to the Commission.

6. In view of the above, the Bench does not feel need for further intervention in the matter.The appeal case is disposed off and closed. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh. Date : 14th July, 2022

Sh. Amarjit Singh Dhamatia, District President, Ludhiana NCAG, H.No. 60-35-P-376-1, Street No.8, Maha SinghNagar, PO Dhandari Kalan, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant

....Respondents

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Chief Administrator, GLADA, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority O/o Chief Administrator, GLADA, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 881 of 2021

(Heard in person/through Webex)

Present : (i) Shri Gurmej Lal on behalf of the appellant Shri Amarjit Singh Dhamotia is present. (ii) Shri Tarun Kumar (9872722431) Clerk, GLADA, Ludhiana is present. ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 01.06.2022 passed by this Bench

on the previous hearing.

2. The representative of the appellant Shri Gurmej Lal states that the complete information has not been provided to him by the PIO.

3. On the other hand the PIO stated that the information as available has been sent to the appellant on 13.08.2021 and also called the appellant for inspection of record on 8.06.2022 but the appellant did not visit the office.

4. In the light of the above, the PIO is directed to file an affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper within 21 days duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in A.C. No. 881/2021 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. The copy of the said affidavit be sent to the appellant and compliance report be also sent to the Commission.

5. In view of the above, the Bench does not feel need for further intervention in the matter.

The appeal case is disposed off and closed. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Lt. Gen. Ajae Kumar Sharma(Retd.) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Chandigarh. Date : 14th July, 2022



Point used to the second secon

Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate Civil Court, Tehsil Complex, Backside Sanjh Kender, Phillaur., Distt. Jalandhar.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o GLADA, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority O/o Addl. Chief Administrator, GLADA, Ludhiana.

....Respondents

Appeal Case No. 1108 of 2021 (Heard in person/through Webex)

Present : (i) Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate is present through Webex. (ii) Shri Manpreet Singh, Suprintendent(9814170285) GLADA, Ludhiana is present.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 01.06.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The appellant states that the sought for information has not been provided to him by the office of the PIO.

3. On the other hand the PIO stated that the information has been sent to the appellant on 13.07.2022 through registered post and except that no other information is available in the official record

of the PIO.

4. The Commission has also received an e-mail which is a copy of letter No. 2570 dated 08.07.2022 vide which the PIO has provided the pointiwse reply/information to the appellant and copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission.

5. I have gone through my previous order dated 01.06.2022 and the reply/information provided by the PIO to the appellant vide letter no. 2570 dated 08.07.2022. The PIO has provided the pointwise reply/information to the appellant. Vide this letter the PIO has stated that the attested copy of building plan and the report regarding the encroachment has been provided to the appellant.

6. In the light of the above, the PIO is now directed to file an affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper within 21 days duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in A.C. No. 1108/2021 has been supplied to the appellant.

Contd.....P/2.....

It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. The copy of the said affidavit be sent to the appellant and compliance report be also sent to the Commission.

7. In view of the above, the Bench does not feel need for further intervention in the matter.The appeal case is disposed off and closed. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Note:- Copy of the information as provided by the PIO is also enclosed with this order.

Chandigarh. Date : 14th July, 2022

PSIC South of the Information Contraction

Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate Civil Court, Tehsil Complex, Backside Sanjh Kender, Phillaur., Distt. Jalandhar. Pin-144410.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Tarn Taran.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Tarn Taran.

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 5358 of 2021 (Heard in person/through Webex)

Present : (i) Shri Tejinder Singh, Advocate is present through Webex. (ii) None present on behalf of the respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 18.05.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The appellant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him by the office of the PIO.

3. None present on behalf of the respondent. However, the Commission has received en email which is a copy of letter No. RTI/16 dated 29.03.2022 in which it has been stated that the Income Tax Returns are being filed by the Tehsildar and Naib Tehsildar through online portal and is to be sent to the Financial Commissioner, Revenue, Chandigarh. Thus, this information can be sought from the office of the Financial Commissioner, Revenue, Chandigarh. But the appellant has sought this information from the office of the SDM, Taran Taran.

4. I have gone through the RTI application dated 02.07.2021 of the appellant and also the reply submitted by the PIO vide letter No. RTI/16 dated 29.03.2022. The appellant is directed to avail the said information from the office of the Financial Commissioner (Revenue), Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 5358 of 2021

5. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be

sent to the parties.

Chandigarh. Date : 14th July, 2022

Versus



Shri Inderjit Singh,RTI Activist C/o Chamber no.141A, New Court, Jalandhar.

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer

O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer (BDPO), Bhogpur, District Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer(DDPO),Jalandhar.

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 5286 of 2021 (Heard in person/through Webex)

Present : (i) The appellant is absent today. (ii) None present on behalf of the respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 18.05.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. Both the parties are absent today. However, the Commission has received an e-mail from the office of the PIO which is a copy of letter No. 3687 dated 8.06.2022 in which it has been stated that the appellant could visit the office of the PIO on any working day and can inspect the record. Copy of said letter is in the file of the Commission.

3. I have gone through the RTI application dated 07.07.2021 of the appellant and also the reply submitted by the PIO vide letter No. 3687 dated 8.06.2022. As the appellant has received the sought for information and is satisfied, so the present case is disposed of and closed.

4. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is **disposed off and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh. Date : 14th July, 2022



Sh. Sukhwinder Singh, S/o Sh. Ratan Singh, Village Khwaja Wardaq, Tehsil Dera Baba Nanak, Distt. Gurdaspur.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o BDPO, Dera Baba Nanak, Distt. Gurdaspur.

First Appellate Authority O/o DDPO, Gurdaspur.

....Respondents

Appeal Case No. 4077 of 2021 (Heard in person/through Webex)

Present : (i) The appellant is absent today. (ii) None present on behalf of the respondents.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 01.06.2022 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. Both the parties are absent today. However, the Commission has received an e-mail from the office of the PIO which is a copy of letter No. 01 dated 01.07.2022 in which it has been stated that the sought for information has been provided to the appellant. Copy of the said letter is in file of the Commission. The appellant has also signed over this letter by stating that "he has received the sought for information".

3. I have gone through the RTI application dated 12.03.2021 of the appellant and also the reply/information submitted by the PIO vide letter No. 01 dated 01.07.2022. As the appellant has received the sought for information and is satisfied, so the present case is disposed off and closed.

4. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is **disposed off and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh. Date : 14th July, 2022